



07-13-05

IPW-#

Robert J. Rapp Customer #41400  
1120 Ranchero Way #10  
San Jose, Ca. 95117  
408-247-3304 [rappj@sbcglobal.net](mailto:rappj@sbcglobal.net)  
July 12, 2005

Dear Sirs at the USPTO,

This correspondence contains a payment for extending the examination of patent application #10/803,626 & response to the Restriction Requirement regarding the same patent application: Truc T. Nguyen is the patent examiner (Art Unit 2833) & the applicant is Rapp, Robert J (Customer #41400).

Attached to this cover letter is a check for \$795.00 (extension of time), copy of the notice of fee deficiency (postmarked June 20, 2005), and claims that have been re-written/re-ordered such that they describe a single embodiment of the invention for patent application 10/803,626. (12 claims)

Thank you,

*Robert J. Rapp 7-12-05*

Robert J. Rapp

07/14/2005 SSITHIB1 00000011 10803626


01 FC:2254

795.00 OP



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND  
DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, DC 20231  
www.uspto.gov



Paper No.

NOTICE OF FEE DEFICIENCY

The informality regarding the payment of the fee is indicated below in connection with

- ☒ the original filing of the application and/or preliminary amendment (e.g. additional claim fees)
- ☒ the reply filed on 5-10-05 . The reply is not fully responsive to the prior Office action because of the following matter(s). See 37 CFR 1.111 and 37 CFR 1.135.

FEE(S) DUE

- ☐ 1. The reply (e.g., amendment) is considered incomplete in that the funds in Deposit Account No. \_\_\_\_\_ are insufficient to cover the entire fee due. The balance\* is due within the time period set below.
- ☐ 2. The reply (e.g., amendment) is considered incomplete in that the Credit Card payment to cover the entire fee due to Account \_\_\_\_\_ (Card type + last 4 digits ONLY) was refused.  
The balance\* is due within the time period set below.
- ☐ 3. The reply (e.g., amendment) has not been entered, since applicant has failed to remit (or authorize charge to a Deposit Account or Credit Card) the fee as indicated on the attached Patent Application Fee Determination Record. Remittance or authorization is due within the time period set below.
- ☐ 4. The filing fee of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ submitted in this application is insufficient.  
A balance of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ is due for presentation of excess claims (37 CFR 1.16(b) & (c)).
- ☒ 5. Other. Need Fee for Extension of Time for 4 month \$ 795.00

Explanation (Provide specific details of the required correction in order to assist the applicant. Indicate whether a service charge has been added to the fee due):

APPLICANT IS GIVEN A TIME PERIOD OF ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, WITHIN WHICH TO REMIT THE FEE IN ORDER TO AVOID ABANDONMENT. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

THE INDICATED AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE YEARLY ON OCTOBER 1 (37 CFR 1.16 & 1.21). THE AMOUNT OF THE FEE(S) DUE IS DETERMINED AS OF THE DATE A COMPLETE REPLY IS RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE (37 CFR 1.8 & 1.10). BECAUSE THE AMOUNT DUE IS NOT NECESSARILY THE FEE INDICATED ABOVE, IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT APPLICANT CHECK THE CURRENT FEE SCHEDULE WHICH IS POSTED ON THE USPTO'S WEBSITE AT: <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/ac/qs/ope/fees.htm>

\*Service Charges: There is a \$50 service charge for processing each payment refused (including a check returned "unpaid") or charged back by a financial institution (37 CFR 1.21(m)). There is a \$25.00 service charge for each month when the balance of a deposit account is below \$1000 at the end of the month (37 CFR 1.21(b)(2)).

Jackie Wellman  
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE) or Clerk of Group

Inquires regarding this Notice should be addressed to the above at 571-272-1640 (insert Phone Number).